

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/612,297 | 07/01/2003 | Roger O'Neill | 7159 | 3184 |
| 7590 02/11/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| DAVID A. LOWIN P.O. Box 620535 | | | SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A | |
| Woodside, CA 94062-0535 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1626 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ······································ | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/612,297 | O'NEILL ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Taofiq A. Solola | 1626 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | · | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>-</u> · | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any accomplicated any accomplicated to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/612,297

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1-18 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7, 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The structures represented by the term "FETL" must be shown in every occurrence in the claims. Applicant cannot claim all or any known FETL compounds that may be applicable in the instant invention because the specification fails to state that all or any known FETL compounds is/are applicable in the invention. Therefore, the specification fails to provide adequate support for the claims. Additionally, FETL is a critical element of the invention and therefore must be disclosed in the claims. See the MPEP. By adding claim 8 to claim 1 the rejection would be overcome.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,297

Art Unit: 1626

For reasons set forth above under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, claims 1-7, 10-18 are indefinite.

Claim 18 recite FETL1-4, having substituents such as "D1-R10", wherein "R10 is D1-C(O)-". It is not clear if applicant is claiming "D1-R10" is "D1-D1-C(O)-". Claims 8-9 are confusing and therefore indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

"An compound" on line 1, claim 10 is idiomatic and therefore the claim is indefinite.

Claims 13-14 are omnibus claims as they are drawn to no specific purpose. The claims are assay for what? What is the relationship between measurement of D2 emission and the purpose of the assay? Claims 15-18 are "proximate assay" but as written there is no relationship between measurement of reporter dye emission and the "proximity" in line 1. How is the proximity determined? Appropriate correction is required.

Figure Drawing

The Datasheet in this application indicates four drawing sheets were filed. However, none is in the case as at this time. Applicant should be please file new copies.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofig A. Solola, PhD., JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

TAOFIQ 90LOLA PRIMARY EXAMINER Group 1626

January 18, 2005